



Cato Bolam creating great places



INTRODUCTION

The Resource Management Act (RMA) defines contaminated land as "land that has a hazardous substance in or on it that has significant adverse effects on the environment or is reasonably likely to have significant adverse effects on the environment." The *National Environmental Standard for Assessing and Managing Contaminants in Soil to Protect Human Health* and the *Auckland Unitary Plan* both set out standards for when a land contamination investigation and follow up work or consents may be required. This Guide provides an explanation of the various types of activities that can trigger the need for a land contamination investigation, the types of investigations, the investigation and cleanup process, and an idea of the costs involved.

A variety of activities can potentially result in soil contamination on a piece of property, that in turn could pose a threat to human health or the environment. It is not unusual for that contamination to have been caused by a historical activity that is no longer obvious – the current landowner may be completely unaware it exists.

Cato Bolam has a team of land contamination experts that work closely with planners and engineers to assist in the consent application process. Cato Bolam can advise you on what the best approach to contamination investigation and cleanup may be for your situation.

We also welcome questions about contamination and what process may be best for you and your land.

Contact us at our office closest to you -

 Henderson
 Orewa
 Manukau
 Whangarei
 Waiheke

 09 837 0486
 09 427 0072
 09 263 9020
 09 438 1684
 09 372 7923

Click here to contact us via our website



HAZARDOUS ACTIVITIES AND INDUSTRIES LIST (HAIL)

The Resource Management (National Environmental Standard for Assessing and Managing Contaminants in Soil to Protect Human Health) Regulation 2011, commonly referred to as the NES, is a national standard relating to the identification and remediation of contaminated land. The NES applies to any land on which a potentially contaminating activity listed on the Hazardous Activities and Industries List (HAIL) is being undertaken, has been undertaken or is more likely than not to have been undertaken. If a HAIL activity has been identified on your property, the NES assumes that it could be contaminated and you may then be required to conduct a contamination assessment.

The HAIL lists a variety of activities that can potentially result in soil contamination on a piece of property. Common contaminants include heavy metals such as arsenic or lead, persistent pesticides such as DDT, petroleum hydrocarbons and asbestos. Some common contaminating activities include the following:

- Horticulture such as market gardens and glasshouses
- Landfilling
- Rubbish tipping and burning
- Automotive repair and panel beating
- Storage of treated timber
- Structures older than 40 years



WHEN A CONTAMINATION ASSESSMENT IS REQUIRED

Once it has been established that one or more activities on the HAIL have occurred on the land and that the land is, therefore, covered by the NES, a determination must be made regarding whether the proposed development activity or activities is covered by the NES. The NES establishes five specific land development activities that may trigger the requirement to perform a site investigation for potential contamination. These activities are:

- Removal or replacement of an underground fuel storage system and associated soil
- Soil sampling
- Soil disturbance
- Subdivision of land
- Change in land use

The first two of these activities can generally be performed as permitted activities and will not trigger a requirement for a contamination assessment. The third activity, soil disturbance, can require an assessment of the volume of soil to be disturbed or removed from the site exceeds the criteria for a permitted activity. Subdivision and change in land use of a property on which HAIL activities have occurred are not considered to be permitted activities and will generally trigger a requirement for a contamination assessment.



CONSENTING UNDER THE NES

If there is no indication of a previous HAIL activity (or the potential for it) in the council records, then the NES does not apply, and any planned development activity can be carried out as a permitted activity with regard to land contamination.

For land that has had HAIL activity, a PSI is required if you want to subdivide or change land use as a permitted activity, and the PSI must conclude that the activity is highly unlikely to pose a risk to human health if done.

If the development activity cannot comply with permitted activity requirements, then a consent application must be submitted for one of the following three types of activity:

- Controlled activity.
- Restricted Discretionary activity.
- Discretionary activity.

For the application to be considered as either a controlled or restricted discretionary activity, the NES requires that a Detailed Site Investigation (DSI) be submitted with the application. The actual activity level depends upon whether soil contamination was found in excess of the applicable human health standards.



AUCKLAND UNITARY PLAN (AUP)

While the primary focus of the NES regarding development activities and contaminated land is the risk posed to human health, the Auckland Unitary Plan (AUP) focuses on risk to the environment and establishes different standards than the NES. As a result, the scope of any contaminated land investigation will have to encompass requirements of both the NES and the AUP. The soil contaminant standards established in the NES for protection of human health are generally more conservative than the permitted activity soil acceptance criteria established in the AUP, but there are some significant exceptions. The AUP soil acceptance criteria standards are significantly lower than the corresponding human health standards established in the NES. When the applicable soil acceptance criteria under the AUP is exceeded, the development activity meets the criteria of a controlled activity regardless of whether it would be a permitted activity under the NES.

The most significant difference between the NES and the AUP involves when concentrations of contaminants exceed established background levels but not other relevant human health or environmental standards. Under the NES, determining if the development activity is considered a permitted activity may depend upon whether soil background concentrations have been exceeded and whether a PSI or a DSI was performed. However, under the AUP, determination of whether an activity is permitted or controlled depends upon both the contaminant concentrations in the soil and the volume of soil disturbed.

In summary, both the NES and the AUP need to be consulted.



SUITABLY QUALIFIED AND EXPERIENCED PRACTITIONER (SQEP)

The NES requires that a suitably qualified and experienced practitioner (SQEP) certify preliminary and detailed site investigation reports. The NES does not establish the qualifications for a SQEP but the NES User's Guide describes the person certifying the report on behalf of their company as being a senior or principal scientist/engineer with a relevant tertiary education and at least 10 years of related (contaminated land) experience. Cato Bolam staff are both qualified in land contamination and SQEP certified.





SOIL SAMPLING AND ANALYSIS

Strict decontamination protocols for sampling equipment need to be employed to avoid cross-contamination during sampling.

Sampling equipment used can range from a hand trowel for near-surface sampling to a hand auger or truck-mounted drill rig for deeper sampling.

Samples are collected according to the sampling plan and the number of samples collected is dependant upon factors including the size of the property and the extent of potentially contaminating activities identified on the property.

Once collected, soil samples are immediately placed in prelabelled laboratory-supplied sample containers, transferred to a chilly bin and transported to the laboratory as soon as possible.

Analysis, including for quality assurance and quality control, is performed by an appropriately accredited analytical laboratory.

Laboratory reported concentrations are then compared to applicable human health and environmental guideline values.



FIELD SCREENING

In-place field screening of soil for the presence of metals can be carried out using an x-ray fluorescence refractometer (XRF). Cato Bolam has the latest equipment available.

Numerous XRF readings are collected to give an early indication of whether concentrations of any metals in the soil exceed relevant human health or environmental guidelines.

Identified areas of contamination can be further investigated during the initial site visit.

Soil samples are collected from select screening locations and submitted to an accredited analytical laboratory for metals analysis to confirm the accuracy of the XRF readings.

Field screening using the XRF can also be used as an initial indicator of when remedial excavation of contamination has met the adopted remedial goals.



PRELIMINARY SITE INVESTIGATION (PSI)

As implied by the name, the PSI is a preliminary look at the history and potential contamination status of a property to evaluate whether the property has a history of potentially contaminating activity covered by the NES. The scope of work for a PSI includes the following:

- Gather readily available information about the property from council records, historical aerial photographs, previous reports, personal interviews and other sources to identify past practices, including HAIL activities, that may have contributed to contamination of the property.
- Conduct an inspection of the property to identify site features, land uses and potential sources and areas of contamination.
- Collect and analyse preliminary soil samples to identify contamination on the property (optional).
- Evaluate the suitability of the property for its current or intended land use.
- Assess the status of the proposed site activities for compliance with the NES and regional and/or territorial plans.
- Assess the risk to human health and the environment from actual or potential soil contaminants on the property.
- Make recommendations regarding the necessity for additional investigation of the property.

If no HAIL activities associated with the property are identified and no soil contamination is found, the PSI will conclude that the property is not covered under the NES and the proposed activity is permitted. If the property is covered under the NES but preliminary sampling finds no contamination in excess of human health guidelines, the PSI will conclude that it is highly unlikely that the property poses a significant risk to human health and that the proposed activity is permitted. If preliminary sampling finds contamination in excess of human health guidelines, the data can be incorporated directly into a DSI and the proposed activity will be restricted discretionary.



DETAILED SITE INVESTIGATION (DSI)

The DSI includes many of the desktop review methods employed in a PSI to identify sources and locations of potential soil contamination but also involves intrusive techniques to collect field data and soil samples for analytical testing to confirm the presence of contamination and determine the concentrations and locations of the contaminants of concern. The scope of work for a DSI includes the following:

- Review readily available information about the property from council records, previous reports, historical aerial photographs, personal interviews and other sources to identify past practices, including HAIL activities, that may have contributed to contamination of the property.
- Conduct an inspection of the property to identify site features, land uses and potential sources and areas of contamination.
- Conduct an intrusive site investigation including collection and analysis of soil samples to assess the actual extent and concentrations of contaminants in all appropriate site media.
- Assess laboratory results against environmental and human health guideline values for the current and future proposed land use.
- Evaluate any potential effects of contaminants on public health, the environment and structures.
- Recommend, as necessary, clean-up, management or ongoing monitoring for the property.

If soil sampling finds no contamination in excess of established background levels, the DSI will conclude that the NES does not apply regardless of the HAIL status of the site. If sampling finds contamination in excess of background levels but below human health guidelines, the DSI will conclude that the proposed development activity is a controlled activity. Otherwise, the proposed development activity is a restricted discretionary activity and preparation of a Remedial Action Plan (RAP) will be required.



THE REMEDIATION PROCESS

The volume of contaminated soil on the property above applicable environmental or human health protection levels may be small enough to be removed as a permitted activity that requires no consent. However, if the volume of contaminated soil exceeds that for a permitted activity, a series of actions will be required to determine the most appropriate method to mitigate the identified risks.

- 1. Remedial Action Plan (RAP).
- 2. Remediation.
- 3. Site Validation Report (SVR).
- 4. Ongoing Monitoring and Management Plan (OMMP).

These stages are further explained below.



REMEDIAL ACTION PLAN (RAP) STAGE

- Summarise the findings and conclusions of previous environmental investigations of the property.
- Identify remediation goals and establish cleanup levels.
- Discuss available remedial options.
- Identify the selected remedial option and discuss the rationale for selecting that option.
- Prepare a site management plan to be used during remediation.
- Establish the validation sampling methodology to document that remedial goals have been met following remedial works.
- Delineate contingency actions to be taken if the adopted remedial strategy fails to achieve the expected remedial goals.
- Identify on-going monitoring or long-term management plans required for the site following completion of remedial actions.

The RAP will generally be submitted along with the DSI as part of the resource consent application. Once the consent is granted, implementation of the RAP will be included as a condition of consent. Less commonly, the RAP will not have been completed before the application is submitted and preparation and approval of the RAP is then included as a condition of consent.



<image>

REMEDIATION STAGE

Once the consent is granted and the RAP has been approved, remedial action can be taken to mitigate the contamination, through physical removal and disposal, on-site destruction, or on-site management. The stages of the remediation process include:

- Engage a suitably qualified contractor to deal with the remedial activities.
- Clearly delineate the area of contamination that will be remediated.
- Contact the appropriate district and/or regional authorities prior to initiation of field activities.
- Implement the site management plan included in the RAP.
- Typical remediation will involve excavation of contaminated soil with transport and disposal at a managed landfill.
- Collect validation samples from the excavation to document that remedial goals have been met following remedial works.
- Implement established contingency actions if validation sampling indicates that the remedial goals have not been met.
- Validate that any soil brought onto the property to backfill the remedial excavation meets cleanfill standards.





SITE VALIDATION STAGE

After remedial or management action, the site must be assessed to validate that the objectives stated in the RAP have been achieved. The results of the validation are documented in the Site Validation Report (SVR). The main elements of the SVR are as follows:

- Document that remediation has been performed in accordance with the RAP.
- Assess the results of validation sampling against clean-up criteria stated in the RAP.
- Verify compliance with regulatory requirements set by all relevant local authorities.
- Verify that any material removed from the property has been received by an appropriate disposal facility.
- Evaluate the necessity for future monitoring or management of soil contamination remaining at the property.

Preparation and submission of the SVR will be a condition of consent and the SVR is submitted to council within the timeframe establised in the consent. Acceptance of the SVR by Council will generally satisfy any remaining consent conditions related to contamination and ultimately contribute to obtaining the "sign-off" section 224(c) from Council.



ONGOING MONITORING AND MANAGEMENT STAGE

There are certain situations where an Ongoing Monitoring and Management Plan (OMMP) will be required for a property following submission of a Site Validation Report. An OMMP should be considered when one or more of the following applies:

- Full clean-up is not possible or preferable.
- Monitored natural attenuation is selected as the preferred remedial option.
- On-site containment of contamination is proposed.

A monitoring programme should detail:

- The proposed monitoring strategy.
- What will be monitored.
- The location and frequency of monitoring.
- The reporting requirements, including format, content and frequency.
- The proposed period for reviewing the OMMP.

If a management plan is used as the primary means of reducing risk, its application and effectiveness must be reported to the relevant authorities at least annually.



ESTIMATING COSTS

Contamination assessment costs can be extremely variable and, for the beginner, Cato Bolam recommends getting sound professional advice when considering any project. A number of variables must be taken into account when estimating costs for assessing and cleaning up a property. Variables that can have a significant impact on costs include:

- The size of the property to be investigated and the proposed development activity.
- The type and extent of HAIL activity on the property.
- The specific nature of the contamination (metals, petroleum hydrocarbons, pesticides, asbestos) and concentrations.
- The depth and volume of contamination to be remediated.
- Site-specific constraints on remediation such as physical barriers or challenging soil conditions.



ESTIMATING COSTS (CONT'D)

Land contamination assessment and remediation is done in stages and the costs are generally payable separately at each stage. These stages are site investigation and report preparation (professional fees), remedial action plan preparation (professional fees), remedial action and sub-contractor fees), site validation and preparation of a site validation report (professional fees).

- Preliminary Site Investigation For a minimal PSI with no sampling you may expect costs to be in the order of \$3,000 \$3,500 + GST. For a PSI with preliminary sampling costs will likely be \$4,000 \$5,000 + GST.
- Detailed Site Investigation For larger and more complex sites entailing significant sampling and analysis you may expect costs to be in the order of \$5,500 \$7,000 + GST.
- Remedial Action Plan The cost for preparation of a remedial action plan is not as dependent upon site variables as the rest of the process and you may expect costs to be in the order of \$1,800 \$2,000 + GST.
- Remedial Action The costs for site cleanup are highly variable, depending on the type of contamination, concentrations, volumes, remedial methodology and disposal costs (if appropriate). Given this variability, we recommend you obtain assistance in this phase. Cato Bolam can assist you with estimating remediation costs.
- Site Validation Site validation costs are somewhat dependent upon site-specific variables but not to the extent of site investigation. For a small, uncomplicated site you may expect costs to be in the order of \$3,500 \$4,000 + GST. For a larger, more complicated site you may expect costs to be in the order of \$4,500 \$5,500 + GST.



WHAT ARE THE TIMEFRAMES?

The land contamination assessment and remediation process can be quite time consuming from start to finish and may not progress in an uninterrupted manner. The site investigation report and remedial action plan are generally submitted with the resource consent application. Following submission of the application, no further contaminated land activity can take place until the consent has been issued, which can take up to one year. Once the consent has been granted, remediation and site validation can take place in a relatively short period of time. The typical timeframe from investigation to site validation report would be approximately 6 – 8 months.

In cases where contamination is left on the property and an ongoing monitoring and management plan is put into place, the timeframe can become indefinite. For situations where the contamination either dilutes or degrades over time, there will come a point when monitoring is no longer necessary. The criteria for suspending monitoring is extremely site specific and will be defined by Council in the consent conditions establishing the requirement for the monitoring.



GLOSSARY OF LAND CONTAMINATION TERMS

Auckland Unitary Plan (AUP)

The Auckland Unitary Plan is the key planning and policy document to organise and control development and activities within Auckland by determining:

- What can be built and where;
- How a high quality and more compact Auckland can be created in a way that is coordinated with infrastructure provision and without adversely impact natural and physical resources, or cultural and historic heritage values;
- How rural activities can be provided; and
- How the natural environment can be maintained to uphold life supporting functions and / or recognised / distinct values and qualities..

Contaminated Land Management Guidelines (CLMG)

A collection of five guidelines published by the Ministry for the Environment that establish procedures for many aspects of contaminated land assessment, including application of New Zealand and international guideline values, reporting on contaminated sites, risk screening and site investigation and analysis of soils.

Detailed Site Investigation (DSI)

A contamination assessment involving intrusive techniques to collect field data and soil samples for analytical testing to determine the concentrations of contaminants of concern.

Hazardous Activities and Industries List (HAIL)

A compilation of activities and industries published by the Ministry for the Environment that are considered likely to cause land contamination resulting from hazardous substance use, storage or disposal. The HAIL is incorporated into the NES by reference and determines whether a site is considered to be a 'piece of land' and, therefore, falls under the NES.

National Environmental Standard for Assessing and Managing Contaminants in Soil to Protect Human Health (NES)

The Ministry for the Environment standard establishing regulations for five land use activities, including setting soil contaminant standards protective of human health and ensuring best practice and consistent reporting on land affected or potentially affected by soil contamination that poses a potential risk to human health.

Ongoing Monitoring and Management Plan (OMMP)

A plan that establishes protocols for long-term monitoring and managing of contamination remaining on the site once remedial activities are completed.



GLOSSARY OF LAND CONTAMINATION TERMS (CONT'D)

Piece of Land

Any portion of a property on which hazardous activities are being, have been, or are more likely than not to have been undertaken, rather than the whole property parcel. The NES applies to the 'piece of land' on which the HAIL activity is located not, necessarily, to the entire property.

Preliminary Site Investigation (PSI)

A desktop study designed to gather information about a piece of land to determine whether it may potentially be contaminated, to assess the suitability of the land for its current or intended land use and to design a detailed site investigation (if required).

Remedial Action Plan (RAP)

A document that establishes remediation or management goals and procedures designed to minimise or eliminate risk to human health or the environment posed by contamination identified on a site.

Resource Consent

A written approval issued by the Council under the RMA to carry out a project that under the Council's rules has required a resource consent application.

Resource Consent Activity Categories

Permitted

Activities are classed as permitted where the character, intensity and scale of their effects are expected to be in keeping with the quality of the existing environment or the relevant objectives and policies of the relevant zone or precinct. Permitted Activities are allowed 'as of right' subject to meeting the relevant permitted activity standards set out in the plan. Compliance with the relevant permitted activity standards must be objectively certain, and not subject to a discretionary assessment. A permitted activity is the only category that does not require you to apply for a resource consent.

Controlled

Activities are classed as controlled where they are deemed appropriate as being in keeping with the existing environment and/or where the effects are well understood and able to be avoided, remedied or mitigated by conditions. Council must grant consent, unless it is considered that insufficient information has been provided. Conditions may only be imposed in relation to those matters explicitly outlined within the plan or a national environmental standard.



GLOSSARY OF LAND CONTAMINATION TERMS (CONT'D)

Restricted Discretionary

Activities are classed as restricted discretionary where they are generally anticipated in the existing environment and the range of potential adverse effects are able to be identified in the plan, so that the restriction on Council's discretion is appropriate. Council may grant or decline consent for a restricted discretionary activity, but only for reasons, and subject to conditions, which are relevant to the matters stated in the Plan or a national environmental standard over which the discretion can be exercised.

Discretionary

A discretionary activity gives Council unrestricted discretion over requirements, conditions and permissions specified in the RMA, regulations or relevant plans. Council can excercise full discretion as to whether or not to grant consent and as to what conditions to impose on the consent if granted.

Resource Management Act (RMA)

New Zealand's principal legislation for environmental management. The Act, passed by Parliament in 1991, seeks to promote the sustainable management of natural and physical resources such as land, air and water.

Section 92 of the RMA (s92)

A section 92 refers to a formal request made by Council for more information to help in assessing and making a decision on a resource consent application.

Site Validation Report (SVR)

A post-remediation report documenting application of the remedial action plan, any variances from the proposed plan and the results of validation sampling. The site validation report assesses the results of the post-remediation testing against the clean-up criteria stated in the remedial action plan.

Suitably Qualified and Experienced Practitioner

A contaminated land professional with a thorough understanding of contaminated land assessment and a suitable number of years of related experience sufficient to allow certification of appropriate contaminated land documents under the NES.



DISCLAIMER

This Guide provides general information on land contamination assessment and remediation. We have made every attempt to be accurate, however the Guide is not intended to provide definitive information on which anyone can rely. No liability is accepted by Cato Bolam or any of its Directors or staff in respect of any person who relies upon information contained within this Guide.

The information and details contained herein are copyrighted by Cato Bolam Consultants Limited, and all rights related thereto are reserved.